1 2 3 4 5 6		
2 3 4 5		
3 4 5		
5		
5		
0		
7		
8	UNITED S	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9		ERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11		No. 2:24-cv-01464-WBS-CSK
12	IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA	No. 2:24-cv-01466-WBS-CSK
13		No. 2:24-cv-01467-WBS-CSK
14		No. 2:24-cv-01516-WBS-CSK
15		No. 2:24-cv-01536-WBS-CSK
16		No. 2:24-cv-01537-WBS-CSK
17		No. 2:24-cv-01539-WBS-CSK
18		No. 2:24-cv-01548-WBS-CSK
19		No. 2:24-cv-01549-WBS-CSK
20		No. 2:24-cv-01552-WBS-CSK
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		ORDER
26		
27 -		
28	Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla i	s a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the

1	above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious
2	litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any
3	proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
4	Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
5	13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
6	the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
7	review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
8	Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (<u>Id.</u>)
9	The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
10	finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction. ¹
11	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:24-cv-01464, 2:24-cv-01466, 2:24-cv-
12	01467, 2:24-cv-01516, 2:24-cv-01536, 2:24-cv-01537, 2:24-cv-01539, 2:24-cv-01548, 2:24-cv-
13	01549 and 2:24-cv-01552 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases
14	No further filings will be accepted.
15	Dated: June 10, 2024
16	WILLIAM B. SHUBB UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17	ONTED STATES DISTRICT SODGE
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

¹ In the captions of 2:24-cv-01466 and 2:24-cv-01467, plaintiff names the Tulare County Superior Court. In the captions of 2:24-cv-01548, 2:24-cv-01549 and 2:24-cv-01552, plaintiff names the Inyo County Superior Court. To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:24-cv-01466, 2:24-cv-01467, 2:24-cv-01548, 2:24-cv-01549 and 2:24-cv-01552 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.